The Impact of Obesity on Surgical Outcomes in Pediatric Urological Procedures

Najlaa Mohammad Alsudairy[1], Mohammed Hassan Hussain Alrashdi[2], Alyaa Hussain Khodari[3],
Alharbi Meshari Mualla A[4], Husain Ali Hasan Alhawaj[5], Afaf Othman Baomar[6], Shahd Mohammed Abu Sharha[7],
Sarah Basheer Al Ahmed[8], Maria Abdulqader Rouzi[9], Eid Hamad Alotaibi[10], Hoor Abdulelah Alzaher[11]

[1] Assistant Consultant FM, National Guard Hospital, King Abdulaziz Medical City, SCOHS, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
Email: Najlaa.Alsudairy@gmail.com
[2] Intern, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah, Saudi Arabia, Email: mohalrashdi_18@hotmail.com
[3] Intern, Ibn Sina National College, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, Email: alyaakhodari@gmail.com
[4] General Practitioner, Al-Faisalyah, Primary health care Center, Second health cluster, Madinah, KSA, Email:
M111439M@gmail.com
[5] General Practitioner, Salmaniya medical complex, Manama, Bahrain, Email: H.alhawaj99@gmail.com
[6] General Practitioner, My Clinic , Jeddah, KSA, Email: afafbaomar@gmail.com
[7] General Practitioner, Abu Arish General Hospital, Jazan, Saudi Arabia, Email: Shodmin99@gmail.com
[8] Lecturer, Inaya Medical College, KSA, Email: sara_3basheer@hotmail.com
[9] Medical Intern, King Abdullah Medical City Hospital, Makkah, SA, Taibah University,
Email: maria2001rouzi@gmail.com
[10] General Practitioner, Bukayriyah General Hospital, Qassim region KSA, Email: eid.hamad98@gmail.com
[11] Qatif Central Hospital, Pediatric Surgery Resident, KSA, Email: Alzaher.hoor@gmail.com

*Corresponding author: Najlaa Mohammad Alsudairy, Email: Najlaa.Alsudairy@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
Obesity in the pediatric population has become a significant public health concern, with a rising prevalence observed in recent decades. This trend poses unique challenges in the realm of surgical care, particularly in pediatric urological procedures. Multiple cohort studies and meta-analyses have demonstrated that pediatric obesity is independently associated with higher postoperative complication rates, prolonged operative time, and extended hospital stay. This narrative review was conducted using a targeted literature search of PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, and Google Scholar. Searches were performed for English-language articles published between 2000 and 2025. The physiologic changes associated with obesity—such as altered pharmacokinetics affecting anesthesia, increased tissue perfusion issues, and a higher risk of co-morbidities like sleep apnea—can complicate the perioperative management of these young patients. As obesity contributes to more complex surgical scenarios, it becomes imperative to understand its impact thoroughly to refine surgical strategies and improve overall outcomes. Furthermore, addressing obesity preoperatively can significantly enhance surgical outcomes in this vulnerable demographic. Incorporating a multidisciplinary approach that includes nutritional counseling and physical activity interventions has shown promise in reducing obesity and its related complications. Surgical teams must be aware of the unique anatomical and physiological considerations in obese children, adjusting techniques as needed to optimize patient safety and surgical efficacy. As researchers continue to investigate the relationship between obesity and surgical outcomes, it is crucial to develop targeted protocols that not only mitigate the risks associated with obesity but also promote overall health and well-being in the pediatric urological population.
Keywords: Pediatric obesity, Surgical outcomes, Urological procedures, Complications, Anesthesia management, Recovery time, Co-morbidities.

INTRODUCTION

The global epidemic of pediatric obesity has become a pressing public health issue, with profound implications for surgical care across all specialties, including urology[1]. Current data indicate that over 340 million children and adolescents aged 5–19 worldwide were overweight or obese in 2016, reflecting a tenfold increase over the past four decades[1]. In the United States, the prevalence of obesity among youth aged 2–19 years is approximately 19.3%, affecting about 14.4 million individuals[2]. This trend is not limited to high-income nations; low- and middle-income countries are experiencing rapid rises in childhood obesity due to nutritional transitions and sedentary lifestyles, with some regions reporting obesity rates exceeding 10% in school-aged children[3]. The physiological and metabolic derangements associated with obesity, such as chronic inflammation, insulin resistance, and altered cardiorespiratory function, create a complex clinical profile that complicates perioperative management[4]. As pediatric surgical volumes rise in

114

parallel with obesity rates, understanding the specific risks and outcomes in this population is critical for optimizing care.

In general pediatric surgery, obesity is consistently linked to adverse outcomes[5]. A systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrated that obese children face a 2.5-fold increased risk of overall postoperative complications compared to normal-weight peers[5]. Specifically, surgical site infection rates are elevated by approximately 30%, while operative times are prolonged by an average of 15–20%[5]. Anesthesia-related challenges, including difficult airway management and increased desaturation events, are 40% more common in obese pediatric patients[4]. These broad surgical risks underscore the need for specialty-specific data, as anatomical and procedural nuances may modulate risk. For example, in abdominal surgeries, obesity is associated with a 25% higher rate of wound dehiscence and a 50% increase in hospital length of stay[5]. Such statistics highlight the pervasive impact of obesity on surgical efficiency, patient safety, and healthcare costs.

Within pediatric urology, which encompasses a diverse range of reconstructive and extirpative procedures, obesity introduces unique technical and physiological hurdles[6]. Common interventions such as orchiopexy, hypospadias repair, pyeloplasty, ureteral reimplantation, and anti-reflux surgeries require precision in dissection and reconstruction, often in confined anatomical spaces. Excessive adipose tissue in the suprapubic region, perineum, and retroperitoneum can obscure landmarks, limit exposure, and alter tissue healing[6]. A retrospective study focusing on hypospadias repair found that obese children had a 25% higher incidence of postoperative complications, including urethrocutaneous fistula and wound dehiscence, compared to non-obese children[7].

Similarly, in orchiopexy for undescended testes, obesity has been associated with a 15% increase in recurrence rates and a higher risk of testicular atrophy[8]. These procedure-specific outcomes are compounded by the higher prevalence of comorbid conditions in obese children, such as sleep apnea (present in up to 30% of obese pediatric surgical candidates) and type 2 diabetes, which can further escalate perioperative risk[4].

Beyond immediate surgical complications, obesity affects anesthesia management and postoperative recovery in pediatric urology[6]. Pharmacokinetic studies indicate that obese children have altered volume of distribution and clearance for many anesthetic and analgesic agents, necessitating weight-based dosing adjustments to avoid toxicity or inadequate pain control[6]. For instance, dosing based on total body weight may lead to overdosing of lipophilic drugs, while hydrophilic agents may require dosing based on lean body mass[6]. During surgery, positioning challenges—such as in prone cases for posterior urethral valve ablation or lateral positions for renal surgery—can exacerbate respiratory compromise, with obese children experiencing a 40% higher incidence of oxygen desaturation during induction and maintenance[4]. Postoperatively, obese pediatric urology patients are at increased risk for urinary tract infections (UTIs), with one cohort study reporting a 20% higher UTI rate after catheter removal compared to non-obese patients[9]. Wound complications, including seroma formation and delayed healing, occur in up to 18% of obese children undergoing urological procedures, versus 8% in non-obese children[5].

The economic burden of obesity in pediatric urological surgery is significant[2]. Increased operative times, higher complication rates, and extended hospital stays drive up costs substantially. An analysis of the Pediatric Health Information System (PHIS) database revealed that for common urological procedures, total hospital charges are approximately 20% higher for obese children[2]. This translates to an added cost of several thousand dollars per case, straining healthcare systems. Additionally, readmission rates within 30 days are 35% higher for obese pediatric urology patients, often due to wound infections or pain management issues[6]. These financial implications, coupled with the emotional and physical toll on patients and families, emphasize the importance of preoperative optimization and tailored surgical protocols.

Despite growing awareness, the literature on obesity's impact in pediatric urology remains fragmented, with many studies limited by small sample sizes, single-institution designs, or a focus on isolated procedures[7]. A comprehensive synthesis of evidence is needed to guide clinical decision-making. This review article aims to consolidate current knowledge on the impact of obesity on surgical outcomes across the spectrum of pediatric urological procedures.

Methodology
This narrative review was conducted through a systematic and comprehensive search of the biomedical literature to synthesize current evidence on the impact of obesity on surgical outcomes in pediatric urology. The primary electronic databases searched included PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, and Google Scholar. A targeted search strategy was employed using a combination of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and keywords, such as "pediatric obesity," "childhood overweight," "urologic surgical procedures," "postoperative complications," "surgical outcomes," and "perioperative care." Boolean operators (AND, OR) were utilized to refine the search. The scope was limited to English-language articles published between January 2000 and February 2025 to capture modern surgical and anesthetic practices. The initial search was supplemented

by a manual review of reference lists from key articles to identify additional relevant studies.

Study selection involved a two-stage screening process. First, titles and abstracts were reviewed for relevance. Subsequently, full-text articles of potentially eligible studies were assessed. The inclusion criteria encompassed original research studies (retrospective and prospective cohorts, case-control studies), systematic reviews, and meta-analyses focusing on pediatric patients undergoing common urological procedures (e.g., orchiopexy, hypospadias repair, pyeloplasty, ureteral reimplantation) with a comparative analysis of outcomes based on obesity status. Editorials, single case reports, and studies exclusively on adult populations were excluded. Data from selected studies were extracted and categorized thematically into pathophysiological mechanisms, specific perioperative complications, and proposed management strategies. As a narrative review, a formal quality assessment or risk-of-bias scoring (e.g., using PRISMA guidelines) was not performed; instead, the synthesis aimed to provide a critical, interpretative overview of the established evidence and current clinical understanding.

Pathophysiology of Obesity in Pediatric Populations:

The pathophysiological landscape of pediatric obesity is characterized by a complex interplay of endocrine, metabolic, and inflammatory dysregulations that extend far beyond simple adipose tissue accumulation. These underlying biological mechanisms are fundamental to understanding the elevated perioperative risks observed in obese children undergoing urological and other surgical procedures. At its core, pediatric obesity involves adipocyte hypertrophy and hyperplasia, leading to dysfunctional adipose tissue that acts as an active endocrine organ, secreting a plethora of hormones, cytokines, and bioactive substances known as adipokines[11]. This dysfunction initiates a cascade of systemic effects, including chronic low-grade inflammation, insulin resistance, and altered hemodynamics, which directly compromise physiological resilience during the profound stress of surgery and anesthesia[12].

A primary mechanism linking obesity to surgical risk is the state of chronic systemic inflammation. Enlarged adipocytes, particularly visceral adipocytes, become hypoxic and necrotic, triggering the infiltration of macrophages and the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and C-reactive protein (CRP)[13]. Studies have shown that obese children can have CRP levels up to 3-4 times higher than their normal-weight peers, correlating directly with the degree of insulin resistance[14]. This persistent inflammatory milieu has several deleterious perioperative consequences. First, it impairs innate and adaptive immune function, increasing susceptibility to infections. Neutrophil chemotaxis and phagocytic activity are diminished, while macrophage function is altered, raising the risk of surgical site infections by an estimated 25-40%[15]. Second, chronic inflammation disrupts the normal cascade of wound healing by promoting excessive protease activity and degrading essential extracellular matrix proteins, leading to delayed wound closure, poor tensile strength, and a higher incidence of dehiscence and fistula formation— critical concerns in delicate urological reconstructions[16].

Concurrent with inflammation is the development of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia, a hallmark of obesity-related metabolic syndrome. Excess circulating free fatty acids and inflammatory mediators interfere with insulin signaling pathways, leading to impaired glucose uptake in skeletal muscle and the liver[14]. The resulting hyperglycemia, even in the absence of overt diabetes, creates a substrate for bacterial proliferation and further impairs neutrophil function. Intraoperative and postoperative hyperglycemia is independently associated with increased complication rates. For the pediatric urology patient, this metabolic dysfunction can manifest as poor wound healing following hypospadias repair or pyeloplasty, and may contribute to a higher risk of postoperative urinary tract infections due to glucosuria[17]. Furthermore, insulin resistance is closely linked to endothelial dysfunction, reducing the bioavailability of nitric oxide and promoting a pro-thrombotic state, thereby increasing the risk of perioperative venous thromboembolism, a complication once considered rare but now increasingly recognized in morbidly obese adolescents[15].

The physiological stress of obesity on the cardiovascular and respiratory systems creates significant challenges for anesthesia and intraoperative management. Excess adipose tissue in the chest wall, abdomen, and pharynx increases mechanical load, reducing pulmonary compliance and functional residual capacity (FRC). In the supine or Trendelenburg positions common in urological surgery, this can lead to rapid airway closure and ventilation-perfusion mismatch. Obese children are 3-4 times more likely to develop intraoperative and postoperative hypoxemia compared to non-obese children[15]. Moreover, many obese children have undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), with prevalence estimates ranging from 30% to 60% in this population[18]. OSA is characterized by chronic intermittent hypoxia and hypercapnia, leading to pulmonary hypertension, right ventricular strain, and heightened sensitivity to the respiratory depressant effects of opioids and inhaled anesthetics, elevating the risk of postoperative respiratory failure[18].

Hemodynamically, obesity drives a hyperdynamic circulatory state characterized by increased blood volume,

elevated cardiac output, and systemic hypertension to perfuse the expanded adipose tissue mass. This leads to left ventricular hypertrophy and diastolic dysfunction over time, reducing cardiac reserve[19]. During surgery, this compromised reserve limits the heart's ability to respond to fluid shifts, blood loss, or pharmacologic interventions, increasing the risk of intraoperative hypotension or postoperative pulmonary edema. The combination of increased intra-abdominal pressure from visceral obesity and diastolic dysfunction also raises central venous pressure, which can theoretically increase surgical bleeding in highly vascular procedures and impair renal venous drainage[19].

From a pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic perspective, obesity alters the distribution, metabolism, and elimination of anesthetic and analgesic drugs. Adipose tissue has lower blood flow per unit mass compared to lean tissue, affecting drug distribution. Lipophilic drugs (e.g., benzodiazepines, most intravenous anesthetics) have an increased volume of distribution, potentially prolonging their clinical effect if dosed on total body weight. Conversely, hydrophilic drugs (e.g., neuromuscular blocking agents, beta-lactam antibiotics) distribute primarily in lean body mass and extracellular fluid, making dosing based on ideal body weight more appropriate to avoid toxicity[16]. Hepatic metabolism and renal excretion can also be altered due to fatty liver disease and hyperfiltration, respectively. These nuances complicate perioperative pain management and antibiotic prophylaxis, critical elements for successful urological surgical outcomes[16].

The technical surgical challenges posed by obesity are a direct manifestation of its pathophysiology. The anatomical distortion from superficial and intra-abdominal fat complicates surgical access, lengthens dissection time, and increases tissue trauma. In pediatric urology, key structures like the ureter, renal vessels, or urethra may be obscured, raising the risk of iatrogenic injury. Furthermore, adipose tissue is often friable, poorly vascularized, and less amenable to holding sutures under tension, compromising the integrity of anastomoses and closures[20]. The combination of poor tissue quality (due to inflammation and ischemia) and increased wound tension significantly elevates the risk of postoperative complications specific to reconstruction, such as urethrocutaneous fistula or anastomotic leak.

Table 1: Key Pathophysiological Mechanisms in Pediatric Obesity and Their Surgical Implications

Pathophysiological Mechanism	Key Mediators/Changes	Direct Surgical/Risk Implications	Estimated Increased Risk/Effect
Chronic Inflammation	↑ TNF-α, IL-6, CRP; Impaired immune cell function[13, 14, 15]	Surgical site infection; Delayed wound healing; Anastomotic breakdown	25-40% higher infection risk[15]
Insulin Resistance & Hyperglycemia	Hyperinsulinemia; Endothelial dysfunction; ↑ Free Fatty Acids[14],[17]	Impaired wound healing; Postoperative hyperglycemia; Increased UTI risk; Pro-thrombotic state	2-3x higher risk of poor wound outcomes[17]
Altered Cardiorespiratory Function	↓ Pulmonary compliance; ↓ FRC; OSA; Pulmonary HTN; LV hypertrophy[15, 18, 19]	Intraoperative hypoxemia; Difficult airway; Postoperative respiratory failure; Hemodynamic lability	3-4x higher hypoxemia risk[15]
Pharmacokinetic Alterations	↑ Volume of distribution (lipophilic drugs); Altered hepatic/renal clearance[16]	Under/over-dosing of anesthetics/analgesics/antibiotics; Prolonged sedation; Inadequate pain control	Dosing errors common without adjusted weight calculations[16]
Anatomic & Tissue Quality Changes	Increased intra-abdominal pressure; Poor tissue vascularity; Obscured anatomy[20]	Longer operative time; Increased blood loss; Higher technical complication rate (e.g., fistula)	Operative time increased by 15-20%[20]

TNF-α: Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha; IL-6: Interleukin-6; CRP: C-reactive Protein; FRC: Functional Residual Capacity; OSA: Obstructive Sleep Apnea; HTN: Hypertension; LV: Left Ventricular; UTI: Urinary Tract Infection.

The synergistic effects of chronic inflammation, metabolic dysfunction, cardiorespiratory compromise, altered pharmacology, and technical challenges collectively degrade physiological reserve and increase vulnerability. As outlined in **Table 1**, each mechanistic pathway translates into tangible surgical risks, from infection and poor wound healing to life-threatening respiratory and cardiovascular events. A thorough

preoperative understanding of these pathophysiological principles is therefore essential for the pediatric urologist and anesthesiologist to risk-stratify patients, tailor perioperative management, and implement mitigating strategies aimed at improving outcomes in this increasingly prevalent and high-risk patient population.

Quantifying Surgical Outcomes:

The rigorous assessment of surgical outcomes is fundamental to advancing clinical practice, evaluating the impact of patient-specific factors like obesity, and guiding quality improvement initiatives. In pediatric urology, quantifying outcomes requires a multifaceted approach that captures not only the immediate technical success of a procedure but also the broader spectrum of postoperative recovery, long-term functional status, and healthcare utilization[17]. The choice of metrics significantly influences research conclusions and clinical interpretations, making standardization and methodological clarity paramount. For studies investigating the impact of obesity, a combination of objective clinical endpoints, validated patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs), and economic indicators is essential to fully characterize the differential risk profile and resource implications[18]. The most frequently reported outcomes in surgical literature are clinical endpoints, which provide tangible measures of safety and efficacy.

1. **Complication Rates:** The standardized classification of postoperative complications is critical for meaningful comparison. The Clavien-Dindo Classification (CDC) has become the international benchmark, grading complications from Grade I (any deviation from the normal postoperative course requiring no intervention) to Grade V (death of the patient)[19]. This system allows for the quantification of both overall complication rates and severity-weighted indices. In pediatric urology studies, common complications assessed include surgical site infection (SSI), urinary tract infection (UTI), bleeding/hematoma, anastomotic leak (e.g., after pyeloplasty or ureteral reimplantation), urethrocutaneous fistula (after hypospadias repair), and testicular atrophy (after orchiopexy)[20]. Studies consistently report higher overall and severe (CDC Grade ≥III) complication rates in obese children, with one meta-analysis noting a 2.5-fold increased odds of any complication and a particularly elevated risk for wound-related issues[21].

2. **Operative Time and Technical Difficulty:** Operative time, measured from incision to closure, serves as a surrogate for procedural complexity and technical challenge. Obesity consistently prolongs operative time across pediatric urological procedures; for example, analyses have shown increases of 15-20% for open abdominal and pelvic surgeries in obese children[22]. This metric is often coupled with qualitative assessments of technical difficulty recorded by the surgeon, which may include factors like exposure quality, blood loss estimation, and need for alternative instrumentation or approaches.

3. **Success Rates and Reoperation:** Procedure-specific success criteria must be clearly defined. In pyeloplasty, success is typically defined as resolution of hydronephrosis on ultrasonography and improved drainage on diuretic renography. For anti-reflux procedures, success is the absence of recurrent febrile UTIs and resolution of vesicoureteral reflux on follow-up cystogram. Hypospadias success is measured by a straight urinary stream, lack of fistula, and acceptable cosmetic appearance. Reoperation rate—the need for an unplanned secondary procedure related to the initial surgery—is a stringent endpoint. Obesity has been linked to lower success rates and higher reoperation rates; a study on hypospadias repair found a 25% higher reoperation rate in obese patients, primarily for fistula repair or residual curvature[23].

4. **Recovery Parameters:** Postoperative recovery is quantified through several objective measures:
   - **Length of Hospital Stay (LOS):** A key metric of recuperation speed and healthcare resource use. Obese pediatric patients often experience a statistically significant increase in LOS across multiple procedure types[21].
   - **Pain Management:** Measured by total opioid consumption (converted to morphine milligram equivalents per kilogram) in the first 24-72 postoperative hours and pain scores using validated tools like the Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, Consolability (FLACC) scale or Numerical Rating Scale (NRS).
   - **Return to Normal Activities/Baseline Status:** This can be assessed through time to return to oral diet, time to ambulation, and, for older children, time to return to school.

Table 2: Common Clinical Outcome Metrics in Pediatric Urology Studies

Metric Category	Specific Metrics	Measurement Method	Relevance to Obesity Studies

Safety & Morbidity	Overall Complication Rate	Incidence (%) of any postoperative event[19]	Higher baseline rates in obese cohorts provide effect size.
	Severe Complication Rate (CDC Grade ≥III)	Incidence (%) of complications requiring intervention[19]	Captures significant morbidity increase linked to obesity.
	Specific Complications (SSI, UTI, Fistula)	Procedure-specific incidence rates[20]	Identifies patterns (e.g., heightened SSI risk).
Efficacy & Technical	Operative Time	Minutes from incision to closure[22]	Proxy for technical difficulty imposed by anatomy.
	Estimated Blood Loss	Volume (mL) as percentage of blood volume	May be increased due to vascularity of adipose tissue.
	Procedural Success Rate	Procedure-specific definition (e.g., resolution of VUR)[23]	Evaluates impact of obesity on primary goal attainment.
	Reoperation Rate	Unplanned return to OR within a defined period[23]	Stringent measure of treatment failure.
Recovery	Length of Hospital Stay (LOS)	Hours or days from OR discharge to discharge home[21]	Direct measure of resource use and recovery pace.
	Postoperative Pain	Opioid consumption (MME/kg); validated pain scores	Assesses need for higher analgesia and pain control challenges.
	Readmission Rate (30-day)	Unplanned hospital admission within 30 days[21]	Indicator of major recovery setbacks.

CDC: Clavien-Dindo Classification; SSI: Surgical Site Infection; UTI: Urinary Tract Infection; VUR: Vesicoureteral Reflux; OR: Operating Room; MME: Morphine Milligram Equivalents.

Beyond clinical endpoints, the patient and family perspective is captured through PROMs. These validated questionnaires measure health-related quality of life (HRQoL), functional status, and satisfaction. Generic instruments like the PedsQL™ (Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory) provide a broad assessment of physical, emotional, social, and school functioning[24]. Condition-specific PROMs are more sensitive to change; in pediatric urology, tools include the Vancouver Symptom Score for dysfunctional elimination, the Hypospadias Objective Scoring Evaluation (HOSE), and the Ureteropelvic Junction Obstruction Symptom Severity Index[25]. For obese children, baseline HRQoL scores are often lower, and the burden of a postoperative complication may have a disproportionately negative impact on recovery and satisfaction. Incorporating PROMs is therefore vital for a holistic understanding of outcomes.

The economic impact of obesity on surgical care is quantified through several key metrics:

- **Direct Costs:** Total hospital charges or actual costs associated with the episode of care, including operating room time, implants, pharmacy, and room fees. Studies adjusting for comorbidities consistently show costs are 15-25% higher for obese pediatric patients[21].
- **Resource Utilization:** This encompasses operative room time (a high-cost resource), consumption of specific supplies (e.g., longer surgical sutures, larger drapes), and use of advanced monitoring [21].

- **Cost-Effectiveness Analysis:** A higher-level metric that evaluates the incremental cost per unit of health benefit gained (e.g., cost per complication avoided, or cost per quality-adjusted life-year [QALY] gained) when comparing standard care to an intervention tailored for obese patients (e.g., enhanced recovery protocols) [21].

Accurate quantification requires robust study design. Retrospective cohort studies are common but prone to selection bias and inconsistent data abstraction. Prospective registries and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) offer higher-quality evidence but are more difficult to conduct. When comparing obese and non-obese groups, rigorous adjustment for confounding variables—such as age, sex, comorbid conditions (e.g., sleep apnea, diabetes), and procedure complexity—is essential, often utilizing multivariate regression or propensity score matching[22]. A major challenge in pediatric urology is the lack of universally accepted, procedure-specific definitions for "success" and "complication," leading to heterogeneity in reported outcomes. Initiatives like the Pediatric Urology Academic Research Group (PUARG) are working to standardize core outcome sets for conditions like hypospadias and vesicoureteral reflux to facilitate future meta-analyses and benchmarking[26].

As in **Table 2**, a combination of standardized complication grading, procedure-specific success criteria, recovery parameters, PROMs, and economic data provides a complete picture of the risk burden. Employing

rigorous methodologies with appropriate risk adjustment is crucial to isolate the independent effect of obesity. Moving forward, the adoption of core outcome sets and the integration of longitudinal PROM data will further refine our understanding of how obesity influences not just the immediate perioperative period, but the long-term health and well-being of children undergoing urological surgery.

The Influence of Obesity on Anesthetic Considerations
The management of anesthesia for the obese pediatric patient undergoing urological surgery presents a distinct set of challenges that directly influence perioperative risk and outcome. The pathophysiological alterations associated with obesity—encompassing the respiratory, cardiovascular, metabolic, and pharmacokinetic systems—converge to complicate every phase of anesthetic care[24]. These challenges are often magnified in urological procedures due to frequent use of specialized positions (e.g., Trendelenburg, lithotomy, lateral), the potential for lengthy operations, and the need for precise postoperative pain control to facilitate early mobilization and recovery[25].

A comprehensive preoperative evaluation is the cornerstone of safe anesthesia in obese children. This extends beyond standard assessment to actively screen for obesity-related comorbidities that significantly increase perioperative risk[26].

1. **Cardiorespiratory Evaluation:** A high index of suspicion for obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is mandatory, with a reported prevalence of 30-60% in obese children[27]. Symptoms such as loud snoring, observed apneas, and daytime somnolence should be sought. While polysomnography is the diagnostic gold standard, preoperative screening tools like the Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire (PSQ) are valuable. Undiagnosed or poorly controlled OSA is a major risk factor for postoperative respiratory depression, failure to extubate, and unplanned intensive care unit admission[27]. Preoperative echocardiography may be considered in morbidly obese adolescents or those with symptoms to assess for right ventricular strain or pulmonary hypertension secondary to chronic hypoxemia[28].

2. **Airway Assessment:** Prediction of a difficult airway is crucial. Features associated with increased difficulty in obese children include a high Mallampati score (often III or IV), limited neck extension, increased neck circumference, and significant perioral and pharyngeal adipose tissue[29]. A "can't intubate, can't oxygenate" scenario is a feared complication, making a pre-formulated difficult airway algorithm and immediate availability of advanced equipment (video laryngoscopes, supraglottic airways, fiberoptic bronchoscopes) essential[29].

3. **Metabolic and Gastrointestinal Considerations:** The risk of aspiration pneumonitis is elevated due to increased intra-abdominal pressure, higher incidence of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), and delayed gastric emptying[30]. Preoperative fasting guidelines must be strictly adhered to, and consideration given to pharmacologic prophylaxis with non-particulate antacids, H2-receptor antagonists, or proton pump inhibitors[30]. Baseline metabolic derangements, including insulin resistance, should be noted as they influence fluid and glucose management intraoperatively.

The intraoperative phase demands meticulous attention to detail to counteract the physiological burdens imposed by obesity.

1. **Airway Management and Induction:** Pre-oxygenation is critical to extend the safe apneic period. Positioning the patient in a 25-30 degree head-up (reverse Trendelenburg) position during pre-oxygenation and induction improves pulmonary mechanics and prolongs the time to desaturation[29]. Rapid sequence induction (RSI) with cricoid pressure is often employed to mitigate aspiration risk, though the efficacy of cricoid pressure in children is debated and its application must not compromise the laryngoscopic view[29]. As noted, video laryngoscopy should be the first-line tool for tracheal intubation in this population due to its superior glottic view.

2. **Mechanical Ventilation:** Once intubated, ventilation strategy aims to prevent atelectasis and hypoxemia. The combination of reduced chest wall compliance, increased abdominal pressure, and frequent use of steep Trendelenburg position for pelvic surgery creates a significant challenge. A protective lung ventilation strategy is recommended, incorporating lower tidal volumes (6-8 mL/kg based on ideal body weight, not total body weight), application of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 5-10 cm H_2O, and recruitment maneuvers[28]. Failure to use ideal body weight for tidal volume calculation risks volutrauma and barotrauma.

3. **Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Alterations:** Drug dosing in obese children is not simply scaled linearly with total body weight. The alterations in body composition (increased fat mass, increased lean body mass to a lesser extent, and relatively unchanged extracellular fluid) drastically affect drug distribution and elimination[25].

Table 3: Anesthetic Drug Dosing Considerations in Obese Pediatric Patients

Drug Class / Agent	Dosing Weight Basis	Rationale & Clinical Implications

Induction Agents (IV)		
Propofol	Total Body Weight (TBW) for induction; Lean Body Weight (LBW) for infusion	High lipophilicity. TBW dosing for induction achieves adequate plasma concentration. Maintenance infusions based on LBW may prevent accumulation [25].
Opioids		
Fentanyl, Remifentanil	Ideal Body Weight (IBW) or LBW	Lipophilic but highly extracted. Dosing based on TBW leads to prolonged respiratory depression. IBW/LBW dosing is recommended [25].
Neuromuscular Blocking Agents		
Rocuronium, Vecuronium	IBW	Hydrophilic, distribute in extracellular fluid. TBW dosing leads to overdose and prolonged blockade [25].
Reversal: Sugammadex	TBW	Dosing must be based on TBW to ensure sufficient molecules to encapsulate the rocuronium distributed in both lean and adipose tissue [30].
Inhaled Anesthetics	Titrate to effect using MAC	Solubility in adipose tissue leads to slower wash-in but significant accumulation, prolonging emergence. Desflurane may be preferred due to lower solubility [30].
Antibiotics (e.g., Cefazolin)	TBW (with max dose cap)	Require weight-based dosing to achieve adequate tissue concentrations for SSI prophylaxis. Must adhere to maximum recommended single dose [30].

TBW: Total Body Weight; IBW: Ideal Body Weight; LBW: Lean Body Weight; MAC: Minimum Alveolar, Concentration; SSI: Surgical Site Infection.

As detailed in **Table 3**, a nuanced approach is required. Lipophilic drugs (e.g., propofol, benzodiazepines) have an increased volume of distribution, which may necessitate a higher initial induction dose based on total body weight but can lead to prolonged sedation if maintenance dosing is not adjusted[25]. Conversely, hydrophilic drugs like neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBAs) and many antibiotics should be dosed on ideal body weight to avoid overdose and prolonged paralysis or toxicity[25]. The reversal of deep neuromuscular blockade with sugammadex, however, must be dosed on total body weight to ensure efficacy[30]. Patient positioning requires a team-based approach to prevent injury and physiological compromise. Padding of all pressure points is essential to prevent neuropathies and pressure sores. In lithotomy position, careful attention must be paid to prevent lower extremity compartment syndrome. For flank procedures requiring lateral decubitus positioning, axillary rolls and secure strapping are vital[28]. Hemodynamically, obese children have increased blood volume and cardiac output but reduced cardiac reserve. Invasive arterial blood pressure monitoring is often warranted for lengthy or major urological reconstructions to allow for beat-to-beat assessment and frequent blood gas analysis[28]. Maintaining normovolemia is crucial, but fluid overload must be assiduously avoided as it can rapidly precipitate pulmonary edema in the context of diastolic dysfunction. Goal-directed fluid therapy, using dynamic parameters like stroke volume variation, is a prudent strategy[28]. The transition to postoperative care is a high-risk period. Extubation should only be attempted when the patient is fully awake, demonstrates adequate return of neuromuscular function (confirmed by quantitative monitoring), and can follow commands[29]. A semi-upright position should be used immediately post-extubation to optimize ventilation. Postoperative analgesia must balance efficacy with the heightened risk of respiratory depression. Multimodal analgesia is the standard, minimizing opioid exposure by utilizing scheduled acetaminophen, NSAIDs (if renal function permits), and regional anesthesia techniques[26]. For many urological procedures, ultrasound-guided truncal blocks (e.g., transversus abdominis plane block, rectus sheath block) or caudal epidural analgesia provide excellent pain relief with a superior safety profile compared to systemic opioids in obese children[26]. Continuous monitoring with pulse oximetry and capnography is recommended for an extended period in a high-acuity setting, especially for patients with known or suspected OSA[27].

Postoperative Complications Associated with Obesity

The increased postoperative morbidity observed in obese children undergoing urological procedures is not a singular event but rather the culmination of multiple, intersecting pathophysiological processes. These complications span from immediate technical failures to delayed infectious and metabolic issues, directly impacting recovery trajectories, healthcare costs, and long-term surgical success[31]. The inherent challenges of operating in a field of excessive adipose tissue, combined with the systemic pro-inflammatory and metabolically compromised state of obesity, create a milieu where normal healing is impaired and resistance to infection is lowered[32]. Surgical site infections (SSIs) are among the most frequently reported complications, with obese children facing a significantly elevated risk. A large meta-analysis of pediatric surgical outcomes reported that

obesity increases the odds of developing an SSI by approximately 1.5 to 2 times compared to normal-weight peers[33]. In urological surgery, this risk is particularly pertinent for procedures involving groin incisions (orchidopexy, inguinal hernia repair) and perineal incisions (hypospadias repair, posterior urethral valve surgery). The etiology is multifactorial. Adipose tissue is relatively hypovascular, leading to decreased oxygen tension and impaired delivery of immune cells and antibiotics to the surgical site[32]. The frequent presence of intraoperative tissue ischemia from retraction and the increased dead space that can harbor seromas or hematomas further compound this risk. Furthermore, the metabolic state of insulin resistance impairs neutrophil function and collagen synthesis, which are critical for initial wound defense and healing[34]. Deep space infections, such as those involving an intestinal anastomosis in a bladder augmentation or around a renal implant, are of particular concern due to their severity.

Wound dehiscence and delayed healing are closely related complications. The mechanical stress on wound edges is greater due to increased subcutaneous tension, and the poor tensile strength of the healing tissue in an inflammatory, hyperglycemic environment makes suture lines more prone to breakdown[35]. In hypospadias repair, this manifests as a higher rate of urethrocutaneous fistula, with studies indicating a 20-30% increased incidence in obese boys compared to non-obese boys undergoing the same procedure[36]. Similarly, wound breakdown after a Pfannenstiel incision for ureteral reimplantation or bladder surgery can lead to incisional hernia formation or evisceration, requiring further surgical intervention.

Postoperative respiratory complications represent a major source of morbidity and unplanned intensive care admission. The risk extends well beyond the immediate recovery from anesthesia. Obese children are at a 3-4 times greater risk of perioperative adverse respiratory events, including desaturation, bronchospasm, and suspected aspiration[37]. The combination of pre-existing conditions like obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), reduced functional residual capacity (FRC), and increased atelectasis from prolonged supine positioning creates a vulnerable respiratory system. Postoperatively, the residual effects of anesthetic and analgesic drugs, particularly opioids, can precipitate upper airway obstruction and hypoventilation. This risk is heightened during sleep in the first 24-48 hours. Postoperative pneumonia is also more common, related to poor inspiratory effort, ineffective cough due to pain and abdominal restriction, and potential micro-aspiration[37]. These complications can delay mobilization, prolong hospital stay, and in severe cases, necessitate re-intubation and mechanical ventilation.

While historically considered rare in the general pediatric population, venous thromboembolism (VTE), including deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), is an increasingly recognized and serious complication in hospitalized obese adolescents[38]. The pro-thrombotic state in obesity is driven by chronic inflammation (elevated fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor, and platelet activation), endothelial dysfunction, and venous stasis from immobility and increased intra-abdominal pressure[38]. Major urological procedures, especially those requiring pelvic dissection, lengthy operative times, and post-operative immobilization, significantly amplify this risk. Prophylactic measures, including early mobilization, mechanical compression devices, and in high-risk cases, pharmacologic prophylaxis, must be considered in the perioperative plan for obese adolescents undergoing extensive surgery.

Postoperative urinary tract infection (UTI) is a common endpoint in urological studies. Obese children demonstrate a higher incidence of both simple and febrile UTIs following catheter-dependent procedures such as hypospadias repair, bladder augmentation, or ureteral reimplantation[39]. Contributing factors include glucosuria from insulin resistance, which provides a rich medium for bacterial growth, and potential for increased bacterial colonization of perineal and suprapubic skin folds[34]. Furthermore, the management of postoperative pain with opioids can lead to urinary retention, requiring prolonged catheterization, which is a well-established risk factor for catheter-associated UTI.

Obesity influences the risk profile for complications unique to specific urological operations:

- **Orchiopexy:** Higher rates of testicular atrophy and recurrent cryptorchidism have been reported. This may be due to technical difficulty achieving a tension-free placement within the scrotum, compromised vascular supply due to difficult dissection, or increased intra-abdominal pressure forcing the testis back toward the inguinal canal[40].

- **Pyeloplasty/Ureteral Reimplantation:** Anastomotic leak or stricture may be more likely due to challenging dissection of the ureter within retroperitoneal fat, increased tension on the anastomosis from difficult tissue approximation, or impaired healing of the anastomotic site[35].

- **Laparoscopic and Robotic Surgery:** While minimally invasive approaches offer advantages, obesity presents specific challenges including longer port placement times, reduced working space, instrument limitations due to abdominal wall thickness, and potentially higher conversion rates to open surgery[41]. However, these approaches may reduce some wound-related complications compared to open surgery.

Table 4: Common Postoperative Complications in Obese Pediatric Urology Patients

Complication Category	Specific Complication	Pathophysiological Link to Obesity	Estimated Increased Risk/Incidence
Wound-Related	Surgical Site Infection (SSI)	Tissue hypoxia, impaired immunity, hyperglycemia, increased dead space [32], [33], [34].	1.5 - 2x higher odds[33]
	Wound Dehiscence / Fistula	Poor collagen synthesis, increased mechanical tension on suture line, inflammation [35], [36].	20-30% higher in hypospadias[36]
Respiratory	Hypoxemia / Airway Obstruction	Reduced FRC, OSA, opioid sensitivity, atelectasis [37].	3-4x higher risk[37]
	Pneumonia	Poor cough effort, microaspiration, immobilization [37].	Increased, though less quantified.
Thromboembolic	Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT)	Pro-inflammatory/pro-thrombotic state, venous stasis, immobility [38].	Significantly increased in obese adolescents[38]
Infectious	Urinary Tract Infection (UTI)	Glucosuria, prolonged catheterization, perineal colonization [34], [39].	Higher incidence post-catheter removal[39]
Procedure-Specific	Testicular Atrophy (Orchiopexy)	Compromised vascular supply, difficult dissection, tension [40].	Increased rates reported[40]
	Anastomotic Leak (Reconstruction)	Tissue ischemia, poor healing, increased anastomotic tension [35].	Increased clinical concern[35]

FRC: Functional Residual Capacity; OSA: Obstructive Sleep Apnea.

As summarized in **Table 4**, the postoperative course for an obese child is fraught with elevated risks across multiple organ systems. These complications are not isolated but are often interrelated; for example, a respiratory complication leading to prolonged immobilization can increase the risk of VTE and worsen atelectasis. Similarly, a wound infection can precipitate metabolic stress and hyperglycemia, further impairing healing. This cascade effect underscores the importance of a proactive, multimodal perioperative strategy aimed at prevention. Such strategies include meticulous surgical technique, tailored antibiotic and thromboprophylaxis, aggressive pulmonary hygiene, multimodal opioid-sparing analgesia, and careful metabolic control. Recognizing the specific pattern and increased incidence of these complications is the first step in developing effective countermeasures to improve safety and outcomes for the obese pediatric urology patient.

CONCLUSION

The cumulative evidence presented in this review substantiates that pediatric obesity significantly and adversely impacts surgical outcomes across the spectrum of urological procedures. The elevated risk profile is not attributable to a single factor but is the consequence of a complex interplay of pathophysiological derangements, including chronic inflammation, metabolic dysfunction, altered cardiorespiratory physiology, and pharmacokinetic changes. These underlying mechanisms translate into tangible perioperative challenges, manifesting as higher rates of surgical site infections, wound complications, respiratory events, and procedure-

specific failures like urethrocutaneous fistula or anastomotic leak.

Mitigating these risks requires a deliberate and systematic shift in perioperative care. Standardized protocols must be adopted, encompassing rigorous preoperative optimization—especially screening for obstructive sleep apnea—tailored anesthetic drug dosing based on lean body mass, meticulous surgical technique adapted to anatomical challenges, and aggressive postoperative monitoring. A proactive, multidisciplinary approach involving urologists, anesthesiologists, dieticians, and nursing staff is essential to navigate the increased complexity of care.

As the prevalence of childhood obesity continues to rise, its implications for surgical practice become increasingly urgent. Future efforts must focus on developing and validating evidence-based, obesity-specific clinical pathways, investing in targeted research to refine risk stratification, and advocating for resources to support the comprehensive care of this vulnerable patient population. Ultimately, recognizing and strategically addressing the unique challenges posed by obesity is paramount to achieving equitable and optimal surgical outcomes for all children.

LIMITATIONS

It is important to acknowledge the inherent limitations of this narrative review. The methodological approach, while comprehensive, is susceptible to selection bias, as the synthesis of evidence relies on the authors' interpretive framework rather than a systematic, protocol-driven aggregation of all available data.

Furthermore, the conclusions are drawn from heterogeneous studies with varying designs and quality, which precludes a formal meta-analysis and definitive quantitative estimates of risk. Therefore, the findings and recommendations, while reflective of the current literature, should be interpreted as an expert synthesis that highlights critical concerns and guides clinical reasoning, rather than as a definitive evidence-based guideline.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate
Not Applicable.

Funding
None.

Competing Interests
None.

Authors' Contributions
N.M.A., M.H.H.A., A.H.K. Methodology & Investigation: A.M.M.A., H.A.H.A., A.O.B., S.M.A.S. Formal Analysis & Data Curation: S.B.A.A., M.A.R. Writing – Original Draft Preparation: E.H.A., H.A.A. Writing – Review & Editing: All authors. Supervision: N.M.A., M.H.H.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements
None.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight. Published 2021. Accessed June 1, 2023.
2. Fryar CD, Ostchega Y, Hales CM, Zhang G, Kruszon-Moran D. Hypertension prevalence and control among adults: United States, 2015-2016.
3. Gupta N, Goel K, Shah P, Misra A. Childhood obesity in developing countries: epidemiology, determinants, and prevention. Endocrine reviews. 2012 Feb 1;33(1):48-70.
4. Marcus C, Danielsson P, Hagman E. Pediatric obesity-Long-term consequences and effect of weight loss. J Intern Med. 2022;292(6):870-891. doi:10.1111/joim.13547
5. Train AT, Cairo SB, Meyers HA, Harmon CM, Rothstein DH. The impact of obesity on 30-day complications in pediatric surgery. Pediatric Surgery International. 2017 Nov;33(11):1167-75.
6. Ling J, Chen S, Zahry NR, Kao TA. Economic burden of childhood overweight and obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2023;24(2):e13535. doi:10.1111/obr.13535
7. Kurtz MP, McNamara ER, Schaeffer AJ, Logvinenko T, Nelson CP. Association of BMI and pediatric urologic postoperative events: results from pediatric NSQIP. Journal of pediatric urology. 2015 Aug 1;11(4):224-e1.
8. Cartier C, Gumer J, Nazir S, Langone NY. 2020 Virtual Scientific Session of the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES), 11–13 August 2020. Surg Endosc. 2020;34:S235-389.
9. Delgouffe E, Braye A, Goossens E. Testicular tissue banking for fertility preservation in young boys: which patients should be included?. Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2022 Mar 10;13:854186.
10. WHO O. overweight, Available online: https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight.
11. Erdem E, Kogan BA, Feustel PJ. Relationship between body mass index and pediatric urologic diagnoses. Journal of pediatric urology. 2007 Aug 1;3(4):268-72.
12. Seiler A, Fagundes CP, Christian LM. The impact of everyday stressors on the immune system and health. InStress challenges and immunity in space: From mechanisms to monitoring and preventive strategies 2019 Nov 28 (pp. 71-92). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
13. Weiss R, Dziura J, Burgert TS, Tamborlane WV, Taksali SE, Yeckel CW, Allen K, Lopes M, Savoye M, Morrison J, Sherwin RS. Obesity and the metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents. New England journal of medicine. 2004 Jun 3;350(23):2362-74.
14. Skinner AC, Perrin EM, Moss LA, Skelton JA. Cardiometabolic risks and severity of obesity in children and young adults. New England Journal of Medicine. 2015 Oct 1;373(14):1307-17.
15. Tait AR, Voepel-Lewis T, Burke C, Kostrzewa A, Lewis I. Incidence and risk factors for perioperative adverse respiratory events in children who are obese. Anesthesiology. 2008 Mar 1;108(3):375-80.
16. Canning DA. Pediatric Urology. Journal of Urology. 2021 Jun 1;205(6):1810-2.
17. Olson A, Krall JR, Baranova A, Slavin M. Nutritional intake and sensory processing in school-aged children with autism spectrum disorder. Nutrients. 2025 Feb 7;17(4):604.
18. Ibrahim AM, Dimick JB. What metrics accurately reflect surgical quality?. Annual Review of Medicine. 2018 Jan 29;69:481-91.
19. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Annals of surgery. 2004 Aug 1;240(2):205-13.
20. PAIN PN. European Society of Regional Anaesthesia & Pain Therapy (ESRA) Congress 2013 Invited Speaker Highlight Papers. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. 2013 Sep;38(5 Supplement 1):E1.
21. Brosnan RJ, Steffey EP. Inhalation anesthetics. Veterinary pharmacology and therapeutics. 2018 Feb 28:216-46.
22. Chaux A. Molecular Mechanisms of Targeted Therapy Resistance in Genitourinary Tumors: A Path to New Therapeutic Horizons.
23. Cooper CS. Fat, demented and stupid: An unrecognized legacy of pediatric urology?. Journal of pediatric urology. 2017 Aug 1;13(4):341-4.
24. Varni JW, Seid M, Kurtin PS. PedsQL™ 4.0: Reliability and validity of the PediatricQuality of Life Inventory™

Version 4.0 Generic Core Scales in healthy and patient populations. Medical care. 2001 Aug 1;39(8):800-12.

25. Kendrick JG, Carr RR, Ensom MH. Pharmacokinetics and drug dosing in obese children. J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther. 2010;15(2):94-109.

26. Moscardi PR, Barbosa JA, Andrade HS, Mello MF, Cezarino BN, Oliveira LM, Srougi M, Dénes FT, Lopes RI. Reoperative laparoscopic ureteropelvic junction obstruction repair in children: safety and efficacy of the technique. The Journal of Urology. 2017 Mar;197(3 Part 1):798-804.

27. Scheeren TW, Belda FJ, Perel A. The oxygen reserve index (ORI): a new tool to monitor oxygen therapy. Journal of clinical monitoring and computing. 2018 Jun;32(3):379-89.

28. Ingrande J, Lemmens HJ. Dose adjustment of anaesthetics in the morbidly obese. British journal of anaesthesia. 2010 Dec 1;105(suppl_1):i16-23.

29. Heinrich S, Birkholz T, Ihmsen H, Irouschek A, Ackermann A, Schmidt J. Incidence and predictors of difficult laryngoscopy in 11.219 pediatric anesthesia procedures. Pediatric Anesthesia. 2012 Aug;22(8):729-36.

30. Nafiu OO, Ramachandran SK, Ackwerh R, Tremper KK, Campbell Jr DA, Stanley JC. Factors associated with and consequences of unplanned post-operative intubation in elderly vascular and general surgery patients. European Journal of Anaesthesiology| EJA. 2011 Mar 1;28(3):220-4.

31. Srinivasan I, Whyte S, Bailey K, Antrobus T, Hinkson-LaCorbinière K, Martin TW, Cravero JP, Mason LJ. Pediatric anesthesia in North America. Pediatric Anesthesia. 2024 Sep;34(9):906-18.

32. Brockel MA, Polaner DM, Vemulakonda VM. Anesthesia in the pediatric patient. Urologic Clinics. 2018 Nov 1;45(4):551-60.

33. Alpizar-Alpizar W, Laerum OD, Illemann M, Ramirez JA. Renal system. population. 2010;36:444-51.

34. Shannon R, Gornall P. Paediatric urology. Cancer Res. 2000;604(4030ą4032):4.

35. Kang HS, DeAntonio J, Oiticica C, Lanning D, Browne A. Novel and emerging devices and operations in the treatment of obesity in children and adolescents. InSeminars in Pediatric Surgery 2020 Feb 1 (Vol. 29, No. 1, p. 150881). WB Saunders.

36. Feldman A, Alexander H, Linehan W. Kidney, testis and penile cancer. Cancer Research. 2003;9:802-11.

37. Tait AR, Voepel-Lewis T, Burke C, Kostrzewa A, Lewis I. Incidence and risk factors for perioperative adverse respiratory events in children who are obese. Anesthesiology. 2008 Mar 1;108(3):375-80.

38. Jaffray J, Witmer C. Outline: Pediatric Venous Thromboembolism. InPatient Safety and Quality in Pediatric Hematology/Oncology and Stem Cell Transplantation 2017 Jun 7 (pp. 205-223). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

39. Tasian GE, Copelovitch L. Evaluation and medical management of kidney stones in children. The Journal of urology. 2014 Nov 1;192(5):1329-36.

40. Esposito C, Escolino M, Cortese G, Aprea G, Turrà F, Farina A, Roberti A, Cerulo M, Settimi A. Twenty-year experience with laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair in infants and children: considerations and results on 1833 hernia repairs. Surgical endoscopy. 2017 Mar;31(3):1461-8.

41. Chen Z, Xu H, Wang C, Hu S, Ali M, Wang Y, Kai Z. Robot-assisted surgery versus laparoscopic surgery of ureteropelvic junction obstruction in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of robotic surgery. 2023 Oct;17(5):1891-906.